

Panaji, 27th April, 2000 (Vaisakha 7, 1922)

SERIES II No. 4

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA



Note: There is one Extraordinary issue and One Supplement to the Official Gazette Sr. II No. 3 dated 20-4-2000 as follows:-

- * Extraordinary dated 20-4-2000 from pages 101 to 102 regarding Notifications from Department of Elections (Goa Legislature Secretariat).
- * Supplement dated 20-4-2000 from pages 103 to 126 regarding Orders from Department of Labour.

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Cooperation

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Notification

No. 26/1/98/TS/RCS

Read: Letter No. GSCB/Legal/99-2000/26757 dated 8-3-2000.

Whereas, the Goa State Cooperative Bank Ltd., Panaji, registered under the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 (Maharashtra Act XXIV of 1961), as in force in the State of Goa, under Registration No. BNK-a-1/Goa dated 7-11-1963 and classified as Cooperative Bank and sub-classified as Central Bank, has attained the status of a Multi State Cooperative Society under the provisions of the Multi State Co-operative Societies Act, 1984 (Central Act No. 51 of 1984) (hereinafter called the "said Act"), on formation of the State of Goa and Union Territory of Daman and Diu with effect from 30-5-1987 (hereinafter referred to as the "said Bank").

2. And whereas, the said Bank on attaining the status of Multi State Cooperative Society under the Multi State Cooperative Societies Act, 1984, continued financing and providing of long term finance for agricultural development to the primary and other societies registered under Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in this State.

3. Now, therefore, by virtue of the provisions of clauses (u) and (v) of section 2 of the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development Bank Act, 1981 (Central Act. No. 61 of 1981) the Government is pleased to declare the said Bank to be a State Cooperative Bank and State Land Development Bank, within the meaning of definition under clauses (u) and (V) respectively of Section 2 of the Act, 1981.

This Notification shall come into force with retrospective effect from 30-5-1987.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies & Ex-Officio Jt. Secretary.

Panaji, 27th March, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri K. N. Rao, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies Panaji, or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra

Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri S. V. Talaulikar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Panaji or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri Uday Chodankar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Panaji or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo,

Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri C. R. Rodrigues, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies Panaji or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri A. M. Redkar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Panaji or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the terms of appointment of Shri G. S. Shirsat, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies Panaji or

SERIES II No. 4

27TH APRIL, 2000

Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri R. D. Naik, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Panaji, or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri Arun C. P. Navelkar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies Panaji, or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period

of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri A. C. Pancekar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies Panaji, or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri R. P. Pednekar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies Panaji, or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

27TH APRIL, 2000

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri Francis S. B. Pires, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies Panaji, or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 8-6-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri N. P. Gaonkar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Panaji, or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri Francis S. B. Pires, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Panaji or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 23-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri A. S. Mashelkar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Panaji or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 23-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the

term of appointment of Mrs. S. Rajalaxmi, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Panaji or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS.

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri Rajesh Narvekar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Panaji or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri S. V. Prabhugaonkar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Panaji or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao,

North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 48-1-2000/TS/RCS

Read: This office order No. 48-1-90/TS/RCS dated 15-4-99.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under Sub-Section (1) of Section 93 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa, read with Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 73 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Goa am pleased to extend the term of appointment of Shri B. S. Gaunkar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Cooperative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Panaji or Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies Central Zone, Panaji, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, and Dairy office, Ponda of State of Goa as the case may be for further period of one year with retrospective effect from 1-4-2000 to 31-3-2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Notification

No. 5-799-2000/ARSZ/Credit

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, St. Anne's Institute Staff Cooperative Credit Society Ltd., Agonda, Canacona-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. 8-RES-(a)-90/South Goa/2000.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 16th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

St. Anne's Institute Staff Cooperative Credit Society Ltd., Agonda, Canacona-Goa has been registered on 16-3-2000 and it bears registration code symbol

No. 8-RES-(a)-90/South Goa/2000 and it is classified as "Resource Society" under sub-classification (a) of Clause 8 in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 16th March, 2000.

Notification

No. 5-797-2000/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Sagar Enclave Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Dabolim-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(a)-316/South Goa/2000.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 10th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Sagar Enclave Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Dabolim-Goa, has been registered on 10-3-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(a)-316/South Goa/2000 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(a)-Tenant Ownership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 10th March, 2000.

Notification

No. 796-2000/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Alto Chicalim Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Chicalim-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(a)-315/South Goa/2000.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 8th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Alto Chicalim Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Chicalim-Goa, has been registered on 8-3-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(a)-315/South Goa/2000 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub classification No. 5-(a) of Tenant Ownership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 8th March, 2000.

Notification

No. 5-798-2000/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Reliance Enclave Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Pajifond, Margao-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-317/South Goa/2000.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 13th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Reliance Enclave Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Pajifond, Margao-Goa, has been registered on 13-2-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-317/South Goa/2000 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Copartnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 13th March, 2000.

Notification

No. 5-802-2000/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Maharudra Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Aquem-Alto, Margao-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-320/South Goa/2000.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 23rd March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Maharudra Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Aquem-Alto, Margao-Goa, has been registered on 23-3-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-320/South Goa/2000 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 23rd March, 2000.

Notification

No. 5-803-2000/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Bogmalo Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Bogmalo-Goa, is registered under code Symbol No. HSG-(a)-321/South Goa/2000.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 24th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Bogmalo Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Bogmalo-Goa, has been registered on 24/3/2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(a)-321/South Goa/2000 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(a)-Tenant Ownership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 24th March, 2000.

Notification

No. 5-804-2000/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Anuradha Enclave Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Aquem, Margao-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-322/South Goa/2000.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 24th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Anuradha Enclave Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Aquem, Margao-Goa, has been registered on 24-3-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-322/South Goa/2000 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant-Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 24th March, 2000.

Notification

No. 5-800-2000/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Reliance Residency Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Navelim, Salcete-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-318/South Goa/2000.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Reliance Residency Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Navelim, Salcete-Goa has been registered on 21-3-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-318/South Goa/2000 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under Sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-Partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Co-Operative Societies Rules, 1962, for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 2000.

Notification

No. 5-801-2000/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Triveni Residency Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Gogal, Margao-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-319/South Goa/2000.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Triveni Residency Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Gogal, Margao-Goa, has been registered on 21-3-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-319/South

Goa/2000 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 21st March, 2000.

- Read:
1. Certificate of Registration dated 21-12-89 of the Goa Port and Dock Empls. Coop. Housing Society Ltd., Margao-Goa.
 2. This Office Memo No. 5-391-1989/ARSZ/HSG/3719 dated 23-3-2000 approving the amendment to bye-law No. 1.

Consequent upon the change in name vide amendment to bye-law No. 1 referred to at Sr. No. 2 above, the certificate of registration of Goa Port & Dock Empls. Coop. Housing Society Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama Goa, is amended as under:-

Amended Certificate of Registration

The Certificate of Registration dated 21-12-89 bearing code symbol No. HSG-(a)-20/South Goa/89 stands amended with immediate effect to the following extent:-

The name of the society appearing in the registration certificate referred to at Sr. No. 1 be read as "JNC Vieira Nagar Coop. Housing Society Ltd.," in place of "The Goa Port and Dock Empls. Coop. Housing Society Ltd.," with the other contents remaining unchanged.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asst. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (South Zone).

Margao, 28th March, 2000.

Corrigendum

No. 24/3/95-Edn (Col)/538

Refer:- Government Order No. 24/3/95-Edn (Col) dated 31-5-96.

The third para of the above cited Government Order may be substituted as follows:-

"The appointment is subject to her clearing the eligibility test for Lecturers conducted by University Grants Commission/CSIR or similar test accredited by the University Grants Commission within a period of five years from the date of joining."

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa

M. Olinda Fernandes, Under Secretary/Assistant Director of Higher Education.

Panaji, 12th April, 2000.

Notification

No. DE/VOC/SCVE/28/93-94/28

The Government is pleased to reconstitute the State Council of Vocational Education for Goa State under the Chairmanship of Hon'ble Minister for Education, consisting of the following members for a period of three years from the day of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette.

- | | |
|---|------------------|
| 1. Hon'ble Minister for Education — Chairman. | — Vice Chairman. |
| 2. Secretary (Education) | — Member |
| 3. Director of Education | — Secretary |

Members

- | |
|--|
| 4. Project Director, R.D.A., Panaji. |
| 5. Director, Industries and Mines. |
| 6. Director of Training, Regional Central Apprenticeship Training, Mumbai. |
| 7. Labour Commissioner. |
| 8. Chairman, Goa Board of Sec. & Higher Sec. Education. |
| 9. Director of Agriculture. |
| 10. Director of Technical Education. |
| 11. Director, H. R. D. Foundation Cell. |
| 12. Principal, Government Polytechnic, Mayem. |
| 13. Director of State Institute of Education, Porvorim. |

Non Government Members

- | |
|--|
| 1. Shri Shivanand Salgaokar, Hira Vihar, Air Port Road, Vasco. |
| 2. Shri R. V. Dhume, 'Shakti' H. No. 260/A, Lourdeswada, Taleigao. |
| 3. Shri Dattaprasad Kholkar, B-3, 'God's Gift' Khorlim, Mapusa. |
| 4. President, Goa Chamber of Commerce & Industry, Panaji. |
| 5. Principal, Fr. Agnel Multipurpose Hr. Sec. School, Verna. |

6. President, VOTA, (Vocational Teachers Association).
7. President, High School Teachers Association.
8. President, Higher Secondary Teachers Association.
9. Shri Premanand Mahambre, Ambarim, Chodan, Tiswadi.
10. General Manager, Fort Aguad Beach Resort, Calangute.
11. Regional Manager, Bank of India or his representative.
12. President, C. I. I. (Confederation of India Industries).
13. Shri Arun Salkar, 'Rama Niwas', Opposite Gomantak Soap Factory, Bordem, Bicholim Goa.

The State Council of Vocational Education shall plan the scheme of Vocationalisation of Education in this State. It would be a policy formulating and co-ordinating body with Government and non Government agencies related with Vocational Education and Training. The specific aims and objectives of the S. C. V. E. are as follows:-

1. To advise Government on matters of policy about introduction of Vocational Education.
2. To advise on matters of establishment of District Vocational Education Committees and establishment of Vocational guidance/Education Bureau.
3. To advise Government on matters of planning, development and implementation of vocational Education in the State.
4. To advise Government on matters of modifying recruitment rules to provide avenues to Vocational pass outs for different suitable posts.
5. To co-ordinate different departments of the State Government and private agencies for facilitating apprenticeship and practical training of different vocations to the students of Vocational Stream.
6. To explore the ways and means for vertical and horizontal mobility of the Vocational pass outs.
7. To facilitate financial assistance to the desirous individuals and the Voluntary Organisations for self Employment to establish Small Scale Industries.
8. To periodically review the programme and develop effective monitoring system, evaluation system, Research and Training.

The State Council of Vocational Education will meet as often as necessary and not less than 2 times a year.

The member Government officials are entitled for TA/DA as per their entitlement. The non-government members are entitled for TA & DA as per rates prescribed for Class I Officer drawn, the Basic pay of Rs. 12,750/- p. m. in a Government Department.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Rinku D. Khirwar, Director of Education & Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Corrigendum

No. 24/1/95-Edn/537

Refer:- Government Order No. 24/1/95-Edn dated 9-11-1995.

The third para of the above cited Government Order may be substituted as follows:

"The appointment is subject to his clearing the eligibility test for Lecturers conducted by University Grants Commission/CSIR or similar test accredited by the University Grants Commission within a period of five years from the date of joining".

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

M. Olinda Fernandes, Under Secretary/Assistant Director of Higher Education.

Panaji, 12th April, 2000.

Department of Home

Home - General Division

Order

No. 9/15/87-HD (G)

Read : Order No. 9/15/87-HD (G) dated 3-8-1999 regarding appointment of persons as Non-Official Members of the Composite Board of visitors for Central Jail Aguada and Sub-Jail-cum Judicial Lock-up at Sada, Vasco.

Consequent upon the appointment of Shri Francis D'Souza, M. L. A., Mapusa as a Cabinet Minister to the Government, Government is pleased to appoint Shri Dayanand Mandrekar, M. L. A. (Siolim) as a Member on

the Non-official Members of the Composite Board of Visitors for Central Jail, Aguada and Sub-Jail-cum-Judicial Lock-up, Sada in place of Shri Francis D'Souza.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

A. Mascarenhas, Under Secretary (Home).

Panaji, 19th April, 2000:

◆◆◆
Department of Labour

Order

No. CL/Pub-Awards/98/2013

The following Award dated 15-3-2000 in Reference No. IT/37/91 given by the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji-Goa, is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Ex-Officio Joint Secretary, (Labour).

Panaji, 12th April, 2000.

**IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
GOVERNMENT OF GOA**

AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit. J. Agni, Hon'ble
Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/37/97

Workmen, *[Signature]* to *[Signature]*

Rep. by Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh,
Ponda-Goa. *[Signature]* Workmen/Party I

v/s.

M/s Goa Steel Rolling Mills Ltd.,
Bicholim-Goa. *[Signature]* Employer/Party II

Workmen/Party I- Represented by Adv. Shri P. B. Devari.

Employer/Party II- Represented by Adv. Shri A. Nigalye.

Panaji, dated: 15-3-2000.

Award

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated

21-8-1991 bearing No. 28/29/91-LAB referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

(1) "Whether the action of workmen of M/s Goa Steel Rolling Mills Ltd., Bicholim, in striking work with effect from 15th September, 1990 to 23rd December, 1990, is legal and justified?

If not, to what relief the management is entitled to?

(2) Whether the lock-out declared by the management of M/s Goa Steel Rolling Mills Ltd., Bicholim, from 15th September, 1990 to 23rd December, 1990 is legal and justified?

If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled to?

2. On receipt of the reference a case was registered under No. IT/37/91 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notice the parties put in their appearance. The workman/Party I (for short, "Union") filed its statement of claim at Exb. 4. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the union are that the employer/Party II (for short "Employer") is a public limited company engaged in the business of manufacturing various sizes of metal rods used in construction work of buildings, bridges etc., and the workers of the employer are the members of the union. That the workers of the employer did not go on strike from 15-9-90 and the question of going on strike did not arise as the employer had declared lockout w.e.f. 15-9-90. That the lockout declared by the employer is illegal as the matter as regards charter of demands was pending before the Tribunal at the relevant time, and also the same was declared without notice and without following the provisions of the Act. The union therefore prayed for a declaration that there was no strike from 15-9-90 and that the lockout declared by the employer was illegal. The union prayed that the workmen be given all the benefits for the period 15-9-90 to 23-12-90.

3. The employer filed written statement at Exb. 5. The employer stated that the union submitted charter of demands in the month of January, 1989 in furtherance to which conciliation proceedings were held and on failure of the conciliation proceedings the dispute was referred to this Tribunal for adjudication. The employer stated that since the time the demands were submitted the workmen resorted to go slow, work to rule, intimidatory tactics against the loyal workers, and also went on illegal strike on 21-8-90, though the dispute on charter of demands was pending before this Tribunal which was registered as case No. IT/80/89. The employer stated that thereafter workers went on illegal strike from 14-9-90 without giving any notice as required under the law and when the dispute was pending before this Tribunal. The employer stated that during the strike period the workmen used to threaten the loyal workers, other staff members and even the officers and they were being prevented from entering the factory premises, and

also the vehicles carrying the raw materials to the factory used to return without unloading the materials. The employer stated that therefore they had to suspend the production and declare a partial lock out from 15-9-90 but the workmen continued with the illegal strike, and resumed work only on 24-12-90 in view of the settlement arrived at between the union and the employer before the Labour Commissioner. The employer denied the contention of the union that there was no strike from 15-9-90 and stated that the workmen had gone on illegal and unjustified strike from 14-9-90 to 23-12-90.

The employer stated that the partial lockout declared by the employer was as a result of the financial loss suffered by the employer due to the illegal and unjustified strike resorted to by the workmen from 14-9-90. The employer denied that the workmen are entitled to any relief for the period 15-9-90 to 23-12-90 as claimed by the union. The union thereafter filed rejoinder at Exb. 6.

4. On the pleadings of the parties following issues were framed at Exb. 7.

1. Does Party I-Workmen prove that their action in declaring strike from 15-9-91 to 23-12-91 was legal and justified?

2. Does Party II-Management prove that the lock-out declared by it from 15-9-90 to 23-12-90 was legal and justified?

3. Whether Party I- Workmen are entitled to any relief?

4. What order?

5. My findings on the issues are as follows:

Issue No. 1: In the negative.

Issue No. 2: In the negative.

Issue No. 3: Workmen/Party I are not entitled to any relief.

Issue No. 4: As per order below.

REASONS

6. Issue No. 1: Adv. Shri Devari, the learned Advocate for the union submitted that the reference which has been made by the Government is joint reference. He submitted that this issue is wrongly framed by this Tribunal because in the statement of claim filed by the union it has been clearly mentioned that the workers did not go on strike from 15-9-90 but it is the employer who declared illegal lock out from 15-9-90 and the fact of lock out has been admitted by the employer in the written statement. He submitted that the union has examined its General Secretary who has stated in his deposition that there was no strike, and therefore the question of proving by the union that the strike is legal does not arise. He submitted that even if it is admitted that there was strike by the workmen, the burden was not on the union to prove that it was illegal. In support of his this contention he relied upon the judgement of the Supreme Court in

the case of Swadeshi Industries Ltd., v/s Its Workmen reported in AIR 1960 SC. 1258.

Adv. Shri Nigalye, the learned Advocate for the employer submitted on the other hand that the reference which has been made by the Government is a Joint reference and hence the parties agreed to the terms of the reference. He submitted that the reference presupposes that there was a strike and therefore the union cannot take the stand that there was no strike. He submitted that the Tribunal is bound by the terms of reference and it cannot travel beyond the terms of the reference. In support of his this contention he relied upon the Judgement of the Supreme Court in the case of (1) Workmen of Orient Paper Mills Ltd. v/s M/s Orient Paper Mills Ltd., reported in AIR 1969 SC 976 (2) D. C. M. Chemicals Works v/s Its Workmen reported in 1962 I LLJ 388; (3) Airlines Hotel (Private) Ltd. v/s. Its Workmen, reported in AIR 1962 SC 676.; (4) Pottery Mazdoor Panchayat v/s The Perfect Pottery Co. Ltd., reported in 1979 Lab. I. C 827 and that of the Calcutta High Court in the case of Bengal River Transport Association v/s Calcutta Port Shramik Union and others, reported in 1978 Lab. I.C. 1416. Adv. Shri Nigalye submitted that the union has admitted that during the relevant time conciliation or the proceeding before this Tribunal was pending which means that the strike resorted to by the workmen was illegal and unjustified.

7. It has been contended on behalf of the union that the issue no. 1 has been wrongly framed because it has been clearly stated by the union in the statement of claim that the workers did not go on strike from 15-9-90 but it is the employer who declared lock-out illegally from 15-9-90. It is true that in the statement of claim the Union has taken the stand that the workers did not go on strike from 15-9-90 but, it is the Employer who declared lock-out from 15-9-90. The witness of the union Shri P. Gaonkar has also stated in his deposition that there was no strike by the workers nor the union had given any call for strike. In my view the pleadings of the union and the evidence in that respect are besides the terms of reference. It is not in dispute that the present reference is a joint reference. Paragraph 2 of the order of reference dated 21-8-1991 itself states that the parties have jointly applied in the prescribed manner for a reference of the dispute specified in the schedule of the said order. The dispute specified at serial no. 1 of the schedule is on the issue whether the striking of work by the workmen w.e.f. 15-9-90 is legal and justified which means that the union had admitted that there was strike by the workmen and it had agreed to refer the dispute as regards its legality and justifiability to the Tribunal for adjudication. Therefore, the union cannot now turn around and take a stand that there was no strike, and that the issue no. 1 is wrongly framed. Adv. Shri Nigalye, the learned Advocate for the employer has relied upon various judgements of the Supreme Court namely in the case of (1) D. C.M. Chemicals Works (2) Orient Paper Mills Ltd., (3) Airlines Hotel Pvt. Ltd., (4) Pottery Mazdoor Panchayat and that of the Calcutta High Court in the case of Bengal River Transport Association (supra) in support of his

contention that the Tribunal cannot travel beyond the terms of the reference. His contention is that when the reference itself presupposes that there was a strike and the dispute is whether the strike is legal and justified, this Tribunal cannot hold that there was no strike and if the same is done, it would amount to travelling beyond the terms of reference, which according to him is not permissible. I have gone through the above judgements relied upon by the employer. In the said Judgement the principal which has been laid down by the Supreme Court and the Calcutta High Court is that the Industrial Tribunal cannot go beyond the terms of the reference. In the case of Pottery Mazdoor Panchayat (supra) the Supreme Court has held that the jurisdiction of the Industrial Tribunal in disputes is limited to the points specifically referred for its adjudication and to matters incidental thereto, and the Tribunal cannot go beyond the terms of reference. I have already mentioned earlier that the order of reference itself states that is a joint reference. This means that the parties have agreed to the reference of the dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal which is mentioned in the schedule of reference. The dispute at sr. no. 1 of the schedule reads as follows:

"Whether the action of the workmen of M/s Goa Steel Rolling Mills Ltd, Bicholim in striking work with effect from 15th September 1990 to 23rd December 1990, is legal and justified?"

The above wordings of the reference therefore make it clear that the parties had admitted that there was a strike by the workmen of the employer from 15-9-90 to 23-12-90. The reference presupposes that there was a strike by the workmen and only issue that was required to be adjudicated upon was whether the said strike was legal and justified this being the case, if the union is now allowed to contend that there was no strike and then decide whether there was strike or not would be amounting to travelling beyond the terms of reference which is not permissible as per the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the above referred cases. There is therefore no substance in the contention of the union that the issue no. 1 is wrongly framed because the contention of the union is that there was no strike.

8. As per the issue No. 1 the burden was cast on the union to prove that its action in declaring strike from 15-9-90 to 23-12-90 was legal and justified. Adv. Shri Devari, the learned Advocate for the union has contended that even if it presumed that there was strike by the workmen, still the burden was on the employer to prove that it was illegal and unjustified. In support of his this contention he has relied upon the judgement of the Supreme Court in the case of Swadeshi Industries Ltd., v/s Its workmen, reported in AIR 1960 SC 1258. This contention has been raised by the union for the first time at the stage of final arguments. If according to the union the burden was wrongly cast on it to prove that the strike was legal and justified, objection to it ought to have been raised immediately after the issues were framed or at least before the evidence of the union was recorded. No such objection was raised by the union in the course of

the proceedings. In any event I do not think that there is any merit in this contention of the union. I have gone through the judgement of the Supreme Court in the case of Swadeshi Industries Ltd., (supra). Nowhere in this judgement the Supreme Court has held that the burden lies on the employer to prove that the strike declared by the workmen is illegal and unjustified. What has been held by the Supreme Court in this case is that whether the strike is legal and justified or not is a question of fact and the Tribunal has to come to a conclusion on considering all the facts and circumstances on record. In the present case the union completely deviated from the issue referred by taking the stand that there was no strike which stand is beyond the terms of the reference and consequently no evidence came to be led on the issue of strike and its legality and justifiability. In the absence of any evidence it cannot be held that the strike declared by the workmen was legal and justified. In the light of what is discussed above I hold that the union has failed to prove that the strike declared by workmen from 15-9-90 to 23-12-90 was legal and justified. Hence I answer the issue no. 4. in the negative.

9. Issue No. 2: As per this issue the burden was on the employer to prove that the lock-out declared by it from 15-9-90 to 23-9-90 was legal and justified. The reference is a joint reference and presupposes that there was lock out. The employer was given opportunity to lead evidence to prove that lock-out declared by it was legal and justified. However, inspite of the opportunity given no evidence was led by the employer. In the absence of any evidence it cannot be held that the lock-out was legal and justified. In the circumstances, I hold that the employer has failed to prove that the lock-out declared by it from 15-9-90 to 23-9-90 was legal and justified. Hence I answer the issue no. 2 in the negative.

10. Issue No. 3: This issue pertains to the relief to which the workmen are entitled to in the present case. In the case of H. M. T. Ltd., v/s H.M.T. head Office Employees Association, reported in AIR 1997 SC 585, the Supreme Court has held that the employees are not entitled to wages during the strike period if the strike is illegal notwithstanding that the strike may be justified. This judgement of Supreme Court is based on its own judgement in the case of Syndicate Bank v/s K. Umesh Nayak reported in 1994(5) SCC 572. In this case the Supreme Court has held that the workmen would be entitled to wages for the strike period if the strike was both legal and justified, that is, if the strike was only legal and not justified or if the strike was illegal and justified, the workers were not entitled to wages for the strike period. Same principles are applicable in case of lock-out declared by the employer. The workmen are entitled to wages only if the lock-out is both illegal and unjustified. In the present case though it has been held by me that the employer has failed to prove that the lock-out is legal and unjustified, still the workmen would not be entitled to any wages for the lock-out period because the lock-out period and the strike period is the same, that is from 15-9-90 to 23-9-90 and it has been held by me that the union has failed to prove that the strike declared

during the above said period is legal and justified. Therefore though the workmen would be entitled to the wages during the lock-out period, they would not be entitled to the wages during the strike period and consequently they are not entitled to any wages during the period 15-9-90 to 23-9-90. In the circumstances I hold that the workmen are not entitled to any relief and answer the issue no. 3 accordingly. Applying the same principles, the management, that is the employer, is also not entitled to any relief.

In the circumstances, I pass the following order;

ORDER

It is hereby held that the action of the workmen of M/s Goa Steel Rolling Mills Ltd., Bicholim, in striking work with effect from 15th September, 1990 to 23rd December, 1990 is not legal and justified. It is hereby also held that the lock-out declared by the management of M/s Goa Steel Rolling Mills Ltd., Bicholim, from 15th September 1990 to 23rd December, 1990, is not legal and justified. It is hereby further held that the management as well as the workmen are not entitled to any relief.

No order as to cost. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-

(AJIT J. AGNI),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal.

Order

No. IRM/CON-MAP/(109)/99/8

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the employer M/s. Dattaguru Industry, Valpoi, Sattari-Goa, and their four workmen, S/Shri Pandurang Patil, Gopal Malsekar, Gopal Mauskar and Narayan Malsekar, represented by the Goa Trade and Commercial Workers Union, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule annexed hereto (hereinafter referred to as the 'said dispute');

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the 'said Act'), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the

Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the employer of M/s. Dattaguru Industry, Valpoi-Sattari-Goa, in refusing employment to S/Shri Pandurang J. Patil, Gopal B. Malsekar, Gopal S. Mauskar and Narayan G. Malsekar, workmen, with effect from 19-11-1997, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour and Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 29th December, 1999.

Order

No. IRM/CON/(63)/97/9

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Dukle Construction, Panaji-Goa, and their workman Shri Vassudev Rajaram Gaude, driver, represented by the Goa Trade and Commercial Workers Union, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule annexed hereto (hereinafter referred to as the 'said dispute');

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the 'said Act') the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji, Goa constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Dukle Construction, Panaji-Goa, in terminating the services of Shri Vassudev R. Gaude, driver, with effect from 22-4-1997, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour and Ex-officio joint Secretary.

Panaji, 29th December, 1999.

Order

No. IRM/CON/MAP/(109-B)/98/6346

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employer M/s. Dattaguru Furnitures, Valpoi, Sattari-Goa, and their workmen, namely Smt. Subhadra P. Patil and Shri Ramesh M. Gaonkar, represented by the Goa Trade and Commercial Workers' Union, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule annexed hereto (hereinafter referred to as the 'said dispute');

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of sub-section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the 'said Act'), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the employer M/s. Dattaguru Furnitures, Valpoi, Sattari-Goa, in refusing employment to Smt. Subhadra P. Patil and Shri Ramesh M. Gaonkar, workmen, with effect from 19-11-1997, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour and Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 29th December, 1999.

No. IRM/CON/SG/(33)/99/6347

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. SouthEastern Roadways, Mumbai and their workman Shri Pramod P. Naik, represented by Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule annexed hereto (hereinafter referred to as the 'said dispute');

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the 'said Act'), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the

Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. South Eastern Roadways, Mumbai, in refusing the employment to Shri Pramod P. Naik, Branch Incharge of Margao branch of M/s. South Eastern Roadways, with effect from 3-12-1998, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour and Ex-officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 29th December, 1999.

No. IRM/CON-MAP/(137)/99/17

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Automobile Corporation of Goa Limited, Honda, Sattari-Goa, and their workman Shri Ajit R. S. Talaulikar, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule annexed hereto (hereinafter referred to as the 'said dispute');

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the 'said Act'), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether Shri Ajit R. S. Talaulikar, Supervisor, could be construed as 'Workman' as defined under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) ?

(2) (a) If answer to the above is in affirmative than whether the action of the management of M/s. Automobile Corporation of Goa Ltd., Honda, Sattari-Goa, in terminating the services of Shri Ajit R. S. Talaulikar, with effect from 4-8-99, is legal and justified?

(b) If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour and Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 30th December, 1999.

Order

No. IRM/CON/18/99/1714

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Mapusa Municipal Council, Mapusa, Goa, and their workperson Smt. Krupa Hallikar, Sweeper, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule annexed hereto (hereinafter referred to as the 'said dispute');

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as ('said Act'), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the Mapusa Municipal Council, in terminating the services of Smt. Krupa Hallikar, Sweeper, with effect from 21-8-1997, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, to what relief the workperson is entitled?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour and Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 24th January, 2000.

Department of Personnel

Order

No. 4/12/85-PER (PF) (B)

Read: Order No. 4/12/85-PER (PF) (A) dtd. 12-4-2000.

Shri R. G. Joshi, Director of Agriculture, is transferred and posted as Managing Director, Goa State Horticulture Corporation, with immediate effect.

2. He shall be on deputation and will be governed by the standard terms of deputation as contained in this Department's O. M. No. 13/4/74-Per dated 12-02-1999 as amended.

3. Shri P. B. Hawaldar, Deputy Director of Agriculture, shall officiate as Director of Agriculture, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. S. Monteiro, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 12th April, 2000.

Order

No. 4/12/85-PER (PF) (A)

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission, vide its letter No. COM/II/11/2 (2)/93, dated 4-4-2000, Government of Goa is pleased to promote on regular basis, by relaxing the required qualifying service, Shri R. G. Joshi, Dy. Director (Agriculture) to the post of Director of Agriculture, Group 'A' in the pay scale of Rs. 12,000-375-16,500/-, with immediate effect.

2. He shall be on probation for a period of two years.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. S. Monteiro, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 12th April, 2000.

Order

No. 6/3/81-PER (Vol. VII)

Read: Order No. 6/3/81-PER (Vol. VII) dated 24-3-2000.

In continuation to this Department's order of even number dated 24-3-2000, Kum. Margaret Fernandes who is under orders of transfer as Chief Officer, Cuncolim Municipal Council, Cuncolim, shall continue to hold the charge of the post of Administrator of Comunidades, Tiswadi, in addition to her own duties until further orders. She may take charge of the post of Chief Officer, Cuncolim Municipal Council, immediately.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. S. Monteiro, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 12th April, 2000.

Order

No. 22/7/96-PER

Read: Government Order No. 22/7/96-PER dated 7-1-1998.

The following Junior Scale Officers of Goa Police Service in the Police Department have satisfactorily

completed their period of probation of two years from 7-1-1998 to 6-1-2000.

1. Shri Atmaram Vasudeo Deshpande.
2. Shri Shirish M. Thorat.
3. Shri Arvind K. Gawas.
4. Shri Bosco B. F. George.
5. Shri Shekhar M. Desai.
6. Shri Vishram U. Borkar.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. S. Monteiro, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 11th April, 2000.

Order

No. 6/3/81-PER (Vol. VIII)

Governor of Goa is pleased to order the transfer and posting of following officers with immediate effect :-

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer and present posting	Transferred and posted as
1	2	3

1. Shri P. Krishnamurthy, IAS Collector, South Goa
Dy. Collector & SDO, Panaji, District, Margao
thereby relieving Shri V. S. Sawant.

2. Shri D. H. Kenaudikar, Dy. Collector & S. D. O.
Dy. Director of Panchayats, Panaji.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. S. Monteiro, Under Secretary (Personnel).
Panaji, 19th April, 2000.

Order

No. 6/4/91-PER (Part-I) (A)

On the recommendations of the Selection Committee as conveyed by Goa Public Service Commission vide their letter No. COM/II/11/42 (1)/96/Vol. I dated 9-3-2000, the Governor of Goa is pleased to promote and appoint under Rule 14 of the Goa Civil Service Rules, 1997 read with Rule 5 (b) of the said Rules, the following Officers who hold posts included in Schedule II of the said Rules to hold Junior Scale posts of Goa Civil Service, Group "A" Gazetted in the pay scale of Rs. 8000-275-13500 with immediate effect as per merit determined by the Selection Committee :-

1. Shri E. P. Pereira

2. Shri S. Y. Bhikoji

3. Smt. Alda Pinto Silva

4. Shri U. D. Gaitonde

5. Shri R. B. Kawthankar

The above Officers shall be on probation for a period of 2 years from the date of their joining.

On promotion, the above Officers are posted as shown below :-

Sl. No.	Name of the Officer	Posted on promotion
1		
2		
3		
1. Shri E. P. Pereira	Chief Officer Bicholim Municipal Council	
2. Shri S. Y. Bhikoji	Dy. Registrar, Goa College of Engineering, Farmagudi.	
3. Smt. Alda Pinto Silva	Asstt. Project Officer, Rural Development Agency.	
4. Shri U. D. Gaitonde	Administrator of Comunidades, Tiswadi (Central Zone).	
5. Shri R. B. Kawthankar	Administrator of Comunidades, Bardez (North Zone).	

The posting of Officers at Sl. No. 1, 3, 4, & 5 shall be on deputation and shall be governed by the standard terms of deputation as contained in this Department's O. M. No. 13/4/74-PER dated 12-2-1999 and as amended.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. S. Monteiro, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Order

No. 22/14/99-PER

On placement of his services at the disposal of the Government of Goa by the Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi vide Order No. 14016/28/98-UTS dated 10-03-2000, Shri Gurcharan Singh, IPS (AGMU:68) is posted as Director General of Police, Goa with effect from 14-04-2000 (A.N.)

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. S. Monteiro, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 19th April, 2000.

Department of Public Health**Order**
No. 8/36/94-II/PHD

The resignation tendered by Dr. Deline Mária de Loyola Menezes, Assistant Lecturer in Pathology, Goa Medical College, Bambolim is hereby accepted by the Government with effect from 16-10-1999 and she stands relieved of the post on the same date.

There are no dues outstanding against Dr. Deline Maria de Loyola Menezes.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

T. J. Faleiro, Joint Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 13th April, 2000.

Order

No. 2/46/98-II/PHD

The resignation tendered by Dr. Hazel M. E. Crasto, Assistant Lecturer in Microbiology, Goa Medical College, Bambolim is hereby accepted by the Government with effect from 1-7-1999 (F.N.) and she stands relieved of the post on the same date.

There are no dues outstanding against Dr. Hazel M. E. Crasto.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

T. J. Faleiro, Joint Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 13th April, 2000.

Addendum

No. 5/12/99-II/PHD

Read: Government Order No. 5/12/99-II/PHD dated 2-2-2000.

In the Government order cited above the Government is pleased to add the following non-officials as members of the Hospital Visiting Committee after the serial No. 34 as shown below:-

35. Shri J. B. Gonsalves ... Member
36. Mrs. Nevys Pereira ... Member
37. Shri Dhaku Murgaonkar ... Member
38. Shri Shrikrishna Haldankar ... Member

T. J. Faleiro, Joint Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 11th April, 2000.

Department of Public Works**Order**
No. 34/2/98/CE-PWD-ADM(II)/81

Read: Govt. Order No. 7/14-3/85-PWD/194 dated 02-12-1997.

Whereas by Govt. order read in preamble above, 27 Asstt. Engineers/Asstt. Surveyor of Works (Civil) have been promoted on regular basis to the post of Executive Engineer/Surveyor of Works (Civil) with effect from 02-12-1997 in Public Works Department as per the recommendation of Goa Public Service Commission, conveyed vide its letter No.COM/II/11/36(2)/96 dated 06-11-1997;

And whereas, the D.P.C. findings in respect of Shri J. N. Ambikar, Executive Engineer on adhoc basis, were kept in "Sealed Cover" on account of Govt. decision to initiate disciplinary proceedings;

And whereas, the Government, now, in consultation with the Directorate of Vigilance and advice of Law Department, has opened the sealed cover of D.P.C. findings of Shri J. N. Ambikar as he was not chargesheeted on the date of original D.P.C. which met on 28 October, 1997;

And whereas, the Goa Public Service Commission recommended Shri J. N. Ambikar for promotion to the post of Executive Engineer /Surveyor of Works (Civil) on regular basis and placed him above Shri V. L. Kamat in the consolidated panel;

Now, therefore, Shri J. N. Ambikar, Executive Engineer on ad-hoc basis stands promoted on regular basis to the post of Executive Engineer/Surveyor of Works (Civil) with effect from 02-12-1997.

Now, therefore, consequent upon promotion of Shri Ambikar, the promotion order dated 02-12-1997 of Executive Engineers/Surveyor of Works (Civil) in Public Works Department stands revised as under:-

1. Shri M. P. Padmanabhan (Retired)
2. Shri J. N. Ambikar
3. Shri V. L. Kamat
4. Shri U. H. Naik
5. Shri V. S. Sawant
6. Shri A. M. Wachasunder
7. Shri C. R. Nagraj
8. Shri Som Prakash
9. Shri K. P. P. Nair
10. Shri A. A. Parulekar
11. Shri K. P. Gopinathan
12. Shri P. V. Kaundinya
13. Shri P. J. Mane
14. Shri C. Radhakrishnan
15. Shri B. C. Jawarshetty (Expired)

16. Shri R. B. Kanbarkar
17. Shri R. M. Kossambe
18. Shri Joseph Rego
19. Shri G. D. S. Zingde
20. Shri J. P. P. de Souza
21. Shri J. N. Chimulkar
22. Shri V. Santhanam
23. Shri P. V. K. Nair
24. Shri V. P. Agrawal
25. Shri P. C. Gupta
26. Shri J.S. Reddy
27. Shri T. K. Nambiar
28. Smt. Alka N. Hede

Shri J. N. Ambikar, shall not be entitled for any arrears of pay.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

T. K. Mohandas, Chief Engineer, PWD & Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary to the Govt.

Panaji, 17th, April, 2000.



Department of Revenue

Notification

No. 22/39/2000-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. for construction of playground at Macazana for Village Panchayat of Macazana, Salcete, Goa.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the

acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Deputy Collector & S.D.O., Margao to perform the functions of a Collector, under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following officers to do the acts specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao-Goa.
2. Deputy Collector & S.D.O., Margao-Goa.
3. The Block Development Officer, Salcete-Goa.
4. The D. S. L. R., Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the office of the Deputy Collector & S.D.O., Margao-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Salcete

Village: Macazana

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
141/2	O: Jose Onofre F. Fernandes. T: Xavier D'Costa.	3200
141/3 (Part)	Pedro D'Costa.	2450
141/12	O: Jose Onofre F. Fernandes. T: Minguel Almeida.	4050
141/13	Fidelis Antonio Fernandes.	25
141/14	Fidelis Antonio Fernandes.	50
141/16	Fidelis Antonio Fernandes.	200
141/17	Fidelis Antonio Fernandes.	50

Boundaries:

North: Nala.
South: Road.
East: S. No. 141/3.
West: S. No. 141/1.

Total:..... 10025

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 11th April, 2000.

Notification

No. 22/33/2000-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter

referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. for public road at Nachinola, Bardez, in survey No. 49/12 (Part).

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Deputy Collector (Revenue), Collectorate, North Goa District, Panaji, to perform the functions of a Collector, under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following officers to do the acts specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji.
2. The Deputy Collector (Revenue), Collectorate, North Goa District, Panaji.
3. The Block Development Officer, Bardez, Mapusa-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the office of the Deputy Collector (Revenue), Collectorate, North Goa District, Panaji, for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Bardez

Village: Nachinola

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
149/13(Part)	O: Shri Pundalik Venktesh Dhond.	425

1	2	3
---	---	---

Boundaries:

North: Road & S. No. 49/12

South: Road.

East: Village Boundary of Aldona.

West: S. No. 49/13 and 14.

Total:.... 425

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Notification

No. 22-4-99-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 22/4/99-RD dated 22-3-1999 published in the Official Gazette dated 15-4-1999, Series II No. 3 and in two newspapers (i) Gomantak Times dated 29-3-1999 and (ii) Navprabha dated 6-4-1999 it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the land specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as "said land" was needed for public purpose viz., for construction of crematorium near Bicholim Industrial Estate.

And whereas in the opinion of the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as the "Government"), that said land is not required for the aforesaid purpose.

Now, therefore, the Government is pleased to declare under sub-section (1) of Section 48 of the said Act that it has withdrawn from the Acquisition of the said land for the aforesaid public purpose and that the aforesaid Government Notification shall be deemed to be cancelled so far as it relates to the said land. The persons interested in the said land, may lodge to the Additional Deputy Collector, Mapusa, within a period of thirty days from the date of this Notification claims under Sub-Section (2) of section 48 of the said Act, for damages suffered by them in consequence of the notice or of any proceedings thereunder, and for costs reasonably incurred by them in prosecution of the proceedings under the said Act relating to the said land.

A plan of the land, shall be available for inspection in the Office of the Additional Deputy Collector, Mapusa, for a period of thirty days from the date of this Notification.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Notification

No. 22/31/98-RD

Read: Government Notification No. 22/31/98-RD (A) dated 7-2-2000, published in the Official Gazette, Sr. II, No. 46 dated 11-2-2000 and in two newspapers (i) Herald dated 13-2-2000 and

Gomantak dated 18-2-2000 reg. L. A. for establishment Industrial Project from M/s. Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd., at Sancoale village of Mormugao Taluka.

In the Government Notification cited above, the date of the Government Notification No. 22/31/98-RD referred to therein shall be read as 7-2-2000 instead of 24-6-98.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.

Notification

No. 22/30/2000-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. in Survey No. 102/1 of Assonora Village of Bardez taluka in lieu of plots acquired for Tillari Irrigation Project.

And whereas in the opinion of the Government the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") are applicable:

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the said Act, that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

The Government further directs under sub-section (4) of section 17 of the said Act that the provision of section 5A of the said Act shall not apply in respect of the said land.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the S.L.A.O. (North), Irrigation Department, Mapusa-Goa, to perform the functions of a Collector, North Goa District, Panaji under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following officers to do the acts specified, therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji.
2. The S.L.A.O. (North), I.D., Mapusa-Bardez-Goa.
3. The Executive Engineer, Works Divn. VIII, ID, Sarvana-Bicholim-Goa.
4. The D. S. L. R., Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the office of the S.L.A.O. (North), I.D. Mapusa-Bardez-Goa, for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Bardez

Village: Assonora

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Name of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
102/1 part O: Comunidade of Assonora.		
Total:.....,3000		

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 18th April, 2000.

Department of Tourism

Order

No. 4/1/92-STE(T) Vol. II-170

On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee of the Goa Public Service Commission vide letter No. Com/II/11/48(2)/92 dated 13-4-2000, the following Assistant Tourist Officers are hereby promoted to the post of Assistant Director of Tourism (Group 'B') Gazetted in the pay scale of Rs. 16500-200-10500 on regular basis with immediate effect.

1. Shri Dattaram Shirodkar
2. Shri Srikant N. Phadte

They shall be on probation for a period of two years.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. S. Keshkamat, Director of Tourism & Ex-Officio Jt. Secretary.

Panaji, 17th April, 2000.